# Ġ GlobalData.

## Global and Asia-Pacific M&A Report Financial Adviser League Tables Q1-Q3 2020





## Table of Contents

|   | Contents  | Page No. |
|---|---|----------|
| 1 | Global M&A Review   | 4-7      |
| 2 | Technology Theme Review   | 9-11     |
| 3 | Global Financial Adviser League table by Value and<br>Volume                                | 13-14    |
| 4 | Asia-Pacific and Japan M&A Review and Financial Adviser<br>League table by Value and Volume | 16-24    |
| 5 | Criteria  | 25       |

Ò



## Global M&A Review Q1-Q3 2020

Ò

## Deal value makes a solid comeback in Q3 amidst uncertain business environment

Uncertain business environment due to COVID-19 outbreak dented deal-making sentiment, compelling investors to adopt a cautious approach. Geopolitical tensions, trade war and economic slowdown in various countries affected deal landscape. Deals worth US\$1,774bn were announced during Q1-Q3 2020, which is a decline of 24.1% over deals worth US\$2,336bn announced during Q1-Q3 2019. However, after the decline in first half, deals caught sharp momentum with 137.4% sequential growth in Q3 over Q2 2020, owing to some big-ticket deals.

## North America continues to dominate global M&A landscape

Despite a decline in share of deal value, North America continued its dominance in global M&A landscape. North America witnessed the highest growth in deal value in Q3 over Q2 2020 but it was not enough to offset the decline registered during the first-half of 2020. Resultant, its regional share of deal value declined from 50% in 2019 to 43% during Q1-Q3 2020. South and Central America and Middle East & Africa also witnessed a decline in share of deal value while Asia-Pacific and Europe witnessed growth

## Cautiousness prevails but no dearth of money for promising deals

An analysis of deal size composition reveals that total value of transactions across all deal size declined during 2020 compared to 2019. Deals valued more than US\$5bn totalled US\$742bn during Q1-Q3 2020, which is just a little more than half of the total value of deals announced during 2019. However, announcement of high-value transactions (such as US\$40.7bn acquisition of NTT DoCoMo by Nippon Telegraph & Telephone and US\$40bn acquisition of ARM by NVIDIA) in Q3 also indicate that there is no dearth of money for promising companies.

## Wide disparity in impact and recovery among regions

COVID-19 outbreak affected the M&A deal activity with varied impact across different regions. APAC outshined other regions during Q1-Q3 2020 compared to the same period in previous year on deal value. However, all regions except Middle East and Africa witnessed rebound in deal value during Q3 2020. Of all the regions, North America witnessed the highest rebound in deal value in Q3 while it also remains the best quarter for APAC among all the quarters since 2016.

## Technology and Healthcare remain relatively resilient

Travel & tourism, retail, construction sectors were among the worst hits due to COVID-19 and deal activity also remained sluggish. However, technology and healthcare sectors remained relatively resilient at the time when economies and businesses are reeling under the impact of the virus outbreak. Some of the big-ticket deals also testify that deal-makers are not shying away from placing bets in promising tech/healthcare companies amidst concerns and growing cautiousness.

## Cloud theme emerged as a clear winner during the pandemic

Of all the technology themes, the highest number of M&A deals were announced in cloud theme during 2020. A total of 1,115 M&A targets active in the cloud space were announced during Q1-Q3 2020. With COVID-19 outbreak prompting adoption of technology to stay connected and operate remotely, businesses have been transitioning to the cloud. This acted as a lucrative investment opportunity for companies with innovative cloud solutions emerging as probable acquisition targets.

### Global M&A Review for Q1-Q3 2020

#### 9M YoY Comparison 2020 Vs. 2019



Source: GlobalData Deals Database

Technology Theme Review

view Global FA League table

Ò

## Global M&A Review Q1-Q3 2020



\$1,774

\$742

\$492

\$190

\$350

YTD 2020

Ò

## Global M&A Review Q1-Q3 2020





## **Technology Theme Review Q1-Q3 2020**

## Technology Thematic Review Q1-Q3 2020

Top Global Tech Themes by Deal volume



Source: GlobalData Deals Database

**Technology Theme Review** 

Global FA League table

**Regional M&A Review** 

9

## Technology Thematic Review Q1-Q3 2020

Deal Activity by Top Tech Themes



Ò

Ö

Top M&A Deals in Technology Theme

| Announced<br>Date | Deal Type   | Acquirer                          | Target                       | Deal Value<br>(US\$ bn) | Target Country | Target Sector                  | Themes  |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 28-Sep-20         | Acquisition | Nippon Telegraph and<br>Telephone | NTT DOCOMO                   | \$40.7                  | Japan          | Technology, Media & Telecom    | Data Centers; 5G; Internet TV; Internet of<br>Things; Mobile; Telecom Convergence                             |
| 13-Sep-20         | Acquisition | NVIDIA                            | ARM                          | \$40.0                  | United Kingdom | Technology, Media & Telecom    | Artificial Intelligence; Machine Learning;<br>Cloud; 5G; Internet of Things; Industrial<br>Internet; AI Chips |
| 13-Jul-20         | Acquisition | Analog Devices                    | Maxim Integrated<br>Products | \$20.4                  | United States  | Technology, Media & Telecom    | AI Chips; Data Centers  |
| 7-May-20          | Merger      | Virgin Media                      | O2 Holding                   | \$18.8                  | United Kingdom | Technology, Media & Telecom    | Mobile; Connectivity; 5G; Telecom<br>Convergence  |
| 5-Aug-20          | Merger      | Teladoc Health                    | Livongo Health               | \$18.5                  | United States  | Pharmaceuticals and Healthcare | Artificial Intelligence; Medical IoT;<br>Digitalization   |



## **Global Financial Adviser League table Q1-Q3 2020**

## Global Financial Adviser League table by Value Q1-Q3 2020

## Ò

#### Financial Advisers League Tables by Value Q1-Q3 2020

| Q1-Q3<br>2020 | Q1-Q3<br>2019 |                       | Q1-0    | 23 2020      | Q       | 1-Q3 2019    |                     | Regional Ranking Comparison |        |              |                         |                            |  |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Rank          | Rank          | Adviser Name          | # Deals | Value (\$bn) | # Deals | Value (\$bn) | Deal Value<br>% YoY | North America               | Europe | Asia Pacific | Middle East &<br>Africa | South & Central<br>America |  |
| 1             | 1             | Goldman Sachs         | 197     | 405.8        | 215     | 830.4        | -51.1%              | 2                           | 1      | 2            | 13                      | 1                          |  |
| 2             | 3             | Morgan Stanley        | 161     | 388.1        | 170     | 683.4        | -43.2%              | 1                           | 2      | 1            | 3                       | 6                          |  |
| 3             | 2             | JP Morgan             | 154     | 275.7        | 186     | 699.8        | -60.6%              | 3                           | 3      | 6            | 9                       | 4                          |  |
| 4             | 6             | Bank of America       | 129     | 227.9        | 129     | 405.2        | -43.8%              | 4                           | 4      | 8            | 1=                      | 2                          |  |
| 5             | 8             | Credit Suisse         | 78      | 176.5        | 101     | 197.4        | -10.6%              | 5                           | 7      | 9            | -                       | 21                         |  |
| 6             | 4             | Citi                  | 103     | 165.7        | 137     | 521.9        | -68.3%              | 6                           | 8      | 16           | 6                       | 16                         |  |
| 7             | 20            | UBS                   | 89      | 145.4        | 90      | 87.2         | 66.7%               | 12                          | 6      | 4            | 55                      | 12                         |  |
| 8             | 9             | Barclays              | 81      | 121.1        | 113     | 189.9        | -36.2%              | 8                           | 10     | 81           | 63                      | 14                         |  |
| 9             | 10            | Lazard                | 102     | 117.3        | 180     | 173.6        | -32.4%              | 10                          | 9      | 128          | -                       | 22                         |  |
| 10            | 35            | Nomura                | 56      | 116.9        | 33      | 30.7         | 280.6%              | 16                          | 14     | 3            | 74                      | 19                         |  |
| 11            | 17            | Rothschild & Co       | 170     | 113.2        | 237     | 115.6        | -2.1%               | 11                          | 5      | 37           | 7                       | 20                         |  |
| 12            | 5             | Evercore              | 106     | 90.9         | 121     | 493.1        | -81.6%              | 7                           | 23     | 136          | 20                      | 33                         |  |
| 13            | 13            | Centerview Partners   | 35      | 73.7         | 39      | 145.6        | -49.4%              | 9                           | 41     | -            | 56                      | -                          |  |
| 14            | 12            | Moelis & Co           | 67      | 61.8         | 81      | 161.6        | -61.8%              | 14                          | 43     | 19           | 1=                      | 40                         |  |
| 15            | 11            | Jefferies             | 116     | 55.7         | 114     | 169.1        | -67.1%              | 13                          | 22     | 59           | 16                      | -                          |  |
| 16            | 19            | Deutsche Bank         | 40      | 52.4         | 66      | 96.4         | -45.6%              | 23                          | 12     | 27           | 10                      | -                          |  |
| 17            | 27            | LionTree Advisors     | 13      | 51.5         | 19      | 38.6         | 33.2%               | 20                          | 13     | -            | -                       | -                          |  |
| 18            | 34            | Ernst & Young         | 190     | 40.9         | 165     | 30.8         | 32.5%               | 17                          | 25     | 12           | 69                      | 32                         |  |
| 19            | 77            | China Everbright Bank | 8       | 39.7         | 8       | 4.4          | 808.5%              | -                           | -      | 5            | -                       | _                          |  |
| 20            | 25            | BNP Paribas           | 37      | 39.5         | 44      | 42.7         | -7.5%               | 35                          | 11     | 39           | -                       | 11                         |  |

Source: GlobalData Deals Database

**Global M&A Review** 

Global FA League table

13

## Global Financial Adviser League table by Volume Q1-Q3 2020

#### Financial Advisers League Tables by Volume Q1-Q3 2020

| Q1-Q3<br>2020 | Q1-Q3<br>2019 |                         | Q1-0    | Q1-Q3 2020   |         | Q1-Q3 2019   |                         | Q1-Q3 2019    |        |              | Reg                     | ional Ranking Co           | omparison |  |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Rank          | Rank          | Adviser Name            | # Deals | Value (\$bn) | # Deals | Value (\$bn) | Deal<br>Volume<br>% YoY | North America | Europe | Asia Pacific | Middle East &<br>Africa | South & Central<br>America |           |  |
| 1             | 2             | Goldman Sachs           | 197     | 405.8        | 215     | 830.4        | -8.4%                   | 1             | 6      | 7            | 14                      | 2                          |           |  |
| 2             | 8             | Ernst & Young           | 190     | 40.9         | 165     | 30.8         | 15.2%                   | 21            | 3      | 1            | 68                      | 32                         |           |  |
| 3             | 1             | Rothschild & Co         | 170     | 113.2        | 237     | 115.6        | -28.3%                  | 23            | 1      | 18           | 2                       | 10                         |           |  |
| 4             | 4             | PwC                     | 170     | 25.6         | 186     | 35.5         | -8.6%                   | 22            | 2      | 6            | 5                       | 41                         |           |  |
| 5             | 7             | Morgan Stanley          | 161     | 388.1        | 170     | 683.4        | -5.3%                   | 3             | 11     | 2            | 12                      | 6                          |           |  |
| 6             | 3             | JP Morgan               | 154     | 275.7        | 186     | 699.8        | -17.2%                  | 4             | 9      | 8            | 3                       | 8                          |           |  |
| 7             | 11            | Bank of America         | 129     | 227.9        | 129     | 405.2        | -                       | 6             | 14     | 12           | 7=                      | 3                          |           |  |
| 8             | 13            | Jefferies               | 116     | 55.7         | 114     | 169.1        | 1.8%                    | 7             | 10     | 33           | 32                      | -                          |           |  |
| 9             | 6             | KPMG                    | 111     | 7.9          | 174     | 11.2         | -36.2%                  | 35            | 4      | 11           | -                       | -                          |           |  |
| 10            | 19            | Houlihan Lokey          | 110     | 18.5         | 88      | 11.0         | 25.0%                   | 5             | 18     | 28           | 46                      | -                          |           |  |
| 11            | 12            | Evercore                | 106     | 90.9         | 121     | 493.1        | -12.4%                  | 2             | 29     | 183          | 15                      | 33                         |           |  |
| 12            | 10            | Citi                    | 103     | 165.7        | 137     | 521.9        | -24.8%                  | 11            | 17     | 13           | 1                       | 9                          |           |  |
| 13            | 5             | Lazard                  | 102     | 117.3        | 180     | 173.6        | -43.3%                  | 13            | 5      | 62           | -                       | 12                         |           |  |
| 14            | 9             | Deloitte                | 102     | 23.9         | 155     | 12.9         | -34.2%                  | 36            | 7      | 3            | 52                      | 31                         |           |  |
| 15            | 17            | UBS                     | 89      | 145.4        | 90      | 87.2         | -1.1%                   | 17            | 19     | 5            | 11                      | 17                         |           |  |
| 16            | 14            | Barclays                | 81      | 121.1        | 113     | 189.9        | -28.3%                  | 10            | 23     | 87           | 62                      | 18                         |           |  |
| 17            | 15            | Credit Suisse           | 78      | 176.5        | 101     | 197.4        | -22.8%                  | 12            | 34     | 9            | -                       | 22                         |           |  |
| 18            | 18            | Raymond James Financial | 77      | 6.0          | 90      | 9.8          | -14.4%                  | 8             | 53     | 177          | -                       |                            |           |  |
| 19            | 21            | Moelis & Co             | 67      | 61.8         | 81      | 161.6        | -17.3%                  | 14            | 50     | 10           | 7=                      | 40                         |           |  |
| 20            | 26            | Piper Sandler           | 65      | 7.5          | 63      | 3.9          | 3.2%                    | 9             | 86     | -            | 51                      | _                          |           |  |

Source: GlobalData Deals Database

**Global M&A Review** 

Global FA League table

Ö



Asia-Pacific and Japan M&A Review

Asia-Pacific and Japan Financial Adviser League table by Value and Volume Q1-Q3 2020

#### Q3 remains the best quarter by deal value in recent years for APAC

APAC was the only region that showcased growth in deal value during Covid-hit 2020, while it declined across all other regions during Q1-Q3 2020 compared to the same period in previous year. Total deal value for the region increased from US\$360bn during Q1-Q3 2019 to US\$435bn during the same period in 2020, a 21.1% increase. The growth could be attributed to the massive jump in deal value by 72.6% in Q3 2020 compared to the previous quarter. Interestingly, Q3 2020's deal value pegged at US\$205bn is by far the highest value recorded across any quarter during the last five years.

#### Japan saw impressive surge in deal value

Japan, which is a key APAC market, registered triple-digit growth in deal value, which increased by 289.5% during Q1-Q3 2020 compared to Q1-Q3 2019. Moreover, deal value increased by 369.8% in Q3 2020 compared to the previous quarter. This growth in deal value was driven by announcement of several big-ticket deals such as SoftBank's sale of mobile wireless unit's stocks worth of US\$13.8bn and acquisition of FamilyMart Co., Ltd. by Itochu Corp for US\$5.5bn. Furthermore, deals valued more than US\$5bn totaled US\$46bn during Q1-Q3 2020 while 2019 did not see even a single deal in this range.

#### Value of US\$5bn+ deals doubled during Covid-hit 2020

Deals valued more than US\$5bn totalled US\$71bn in the APAC region during 2019. Interestingly, the total value of such deals more than doubled during the first nine months of 2020 and stood at US\$152bn. While other regions were reeling under the impact of Covid-19 outbreak, the region managed to witness big-ticket deals, which also included four mega-deals (deals valued more than US\$10bn) during Q1-Q3 2020.

#### Technology sector dominates while also registering significant growth in deal activity

Technology sector dominated the deal landscape in APAC region by accounting for more than 25% of the total deal value as well as volume during Q1-Q3 2020. It was also among the few hardy sectors that witnessed double/triple-digit growth in deal activity, a 47.2% deal volume and 127.2% value growth during Q1-Q3 in 2020 compared to the same period during the previous year. Healthcare, oil & gas, aerospace & defence, foodservice and automotive were among the other resilient sectors that also managed to witness growth in deal value as well as volume during the same period.



**Global M&A Review** 



#### Source: GlobalData Deals Database

Technology Theme Review

view Global FA League table

Regional M&A Review

Ò



Top Asian-Pacific M&A Deals

| Announced<br>Date   | Deal Type         | Acquirer  | Target                                      | Deal Value<br>(US\$ bn) | Target Country        | Target Sector                          |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 28-Sep-20   | Acquisition       | Nippon Telegraph and Telephone  | NTT DOCOMO                                  | \$40.7                  | Japan                 | Information Communication & Technology |  |  |  |
| 23-Jul-20   | Asset Transaction | China Oil & Gas Piping Network  | Pipeline Facilities - PetroChina            | \$38.4                  | China                 | Oil and Gas                            |  |  |  |
| 9-Mar-20  | Asset Transaction | Charoen Pokphand Foods  | Tesco Operations - Thailand and<br>Malaysia | \$10.6                  | Thailand;<br>Malaysia | Retail                                 |  |  |  |
| 22-Jul-20   | Asset Transaction | Copenhagen Infrastructure Partners;<br>Asiapetro; Novasia Energy  | La Gan Offshore Wind Power Plant            | \$10.0                  | Vietnam               | Power                                  |  |  |  |
| 2-Apr-20  | Private Equity    | Tencent; General Atlantic Singapore Fund;<br>Ocean Link; Warburg Pincus Asia;<br>Jinbo Yao; Internet Opportunity Fund | 58.com                                      | \$8.7                   | China                 | Information Communication & Technology |  |  |  |
|   |                   |   |   |                         |                       | 19                                     |  |  |  |
| ource: GlobalData Deals Database Global M&A Review Technology Theme Review Global FA League table Regional M&A Review |                   |   |   |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |

Financial Adviser League tables by Value & Volume Q1-Q3 2020

|               |               | Ranking               | by Value   |                 |            |                 |               | Ranking by Volume |               |                |            |                 |            |                 |               |  |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Q1-Q3<br>2020 | Q1-Q3<br>2019 |                       | Q1-Q3 2020 |                 | Q1-Q3 2019 |                 |               | Q1-Q3<br>2020     | Q1-Q3<br>2019 | Q1-0           |            | Q1-Q3 2020      |            | 2019            |               |  |
| Rank          | Rank          | Adviser Name          | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | YoY<br>Change | Rank              | Rank          | Adviser Name   | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | YoY<br>Change |  |
| 1             | 7             | Morgan Stanley        | 37         | 112.0           | 26         | 16.8            | 565.5%        | 1                 | 1             | Ernst & Young  | 45         | 7.9             | 32         | 13.4            | 40.6%         |  |
| 2             | 6             | Goldman Sachs         | 20         | 90.0            | 17         | 17.7            | 409.2%        | 2                 | 2             | Morgan Stanley | 37         | 112.0           | 26         | 16.8            | 42.3%         |  |
| 3             | 13            | Nomura                | 29         | 63.8            | 13         | 5.9             | 973.8%        | 3                 | 7             | Deloitte       | 32         | 17.1            | 19         | 2.2             | 68.4%         |  |
| 4             | 5             | UBS                   | 25         | 54.3            | 25         | 17.7            | 206.0%        | 4                 | 13            | Nomura         | 29         | 63.8            | 13         | 5.9             | 123.1%        |  |
| 5             | 18            | China Everbright Bank | 8          | 39.7            | 7          | 4.3             | 825.4%        | 5                 | 3             | UBS            | 25         | 54.3            | 25         | 17.7            | -             |  |
| 6             | 9             | JP Morgan             | 18         | 25.9            | 17         | 14.2            | 82.6%         | 6                 | 6             | PwC            | 22         | 3.8             | 20         | 2.0             | 10.0%         |  |
| 7             | 26            | Deloitte              | 32         | 17.1            | 19         | 2.2             | 670.1%        | 7                 | 11            | Goldman Sachs  | 20         | 90.0            | 17         | 17.7            | 17.6%         |  |
| 8             | 3             | Bank of America       | 11         | 15.5            | 12         | 21.7            | -28.6%        | 8                 | 10            | JP Morgan      | 18         | 25.9            | 17         | 14.2            | 5.9%          |  |
| 9             | 4             | Credit Suisse         | 15         | 14.8            | 20         | 20.0            | -25.8%        | 9                 | 5             | Credit Suisse  | 15         | 14.8            | 20         | 20.0            | -25.0%        |  |
| 10            | -             | Quant Group           | 1          | 10.6            | -          | -               | -             | 10                | 16            | Moelis & Co    | 12         | 4.0             | 12         | 1.2             | -             |  |

**Note**: GlobalData's league table rankings is based on deal value. If value remains same for multiple advisers, deal volume is given weightage

Note: GlobalData's league table rankings is based on deal volume. If volume remains same for multiple advisers, deal value is given weightage

Source: GlobalData Deals Database

Technology Theme Review

Global FA League table





Breakdown by Deal Size Composition

Regional M&A Review

 $\mathcal{D}$ 



Ø

Top Japanese M&A Deals

| Announced<br>Date | Deal Type      | Acquirer                       | Target                     | Deal Value<br>(US\$ bn) | Target Country | Target Sector                          |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| 28-Sep-20         | Acquisition    | Nippon Telegraph and Telephone | NTT DOCOMO                 | \$40.7                  | Japan          | Information Communication & Technology |
| 8-Jul-20          | Acquisition    | Itochu                         | FamilyMart                 | \$5.5                   | Japan          | Retail                                 |
| 31-Jan-20         | Acquisition    | Hitachi                        | Hitachi High-Technologies  | \$4.9                   | Japan          | Information Communication & Technology |
| 19-May-20         | Acquisition    | Sony Corp                      | Sony Financial Holdings    | \$3.7                   | Japan          | Financial Services                     |
| 24-Aug-20         | Private Equity | The Blackstone Group           | Takeda Consumer Healthcare | \$2.2                   | Japan          | Consumer                               |
|                   |                |                                |                            |                         |                | 22                                     |

Global M&A Review

Financial Adviser League tables by Value & Volume Q1-Q3 2020

|               |               | Ranking                         | by Value   |                 |            |                 | Ranking by Volume |               |               |                                 |            |                 |            |                 |               |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Q1-Q3<br>2020 | Q1-Q3<br>2019 |                                 | Q1-Q3      | Q1-Q3 2020      |            | Q1-Q3 2019      |                   | Q1-Q3<br>2020 | Q1-Q3<br>2019 | Q1-Q3<br>2019                   |            | 2020            | Q1-Q3 2019 |                 |               |
| Rank          | Rank          | Adviser Name                    | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | YoY<br>Change     | Rank          | Rank          | Adviser Name                    | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | #<br>Deals | Value<br>(\$bn) | YoY<br>Change |
| 1             | 2             | Nomura                          | 24         | 63.5            | 11         | 5.9             | 969.0%            | 1             | 2             | Nomura                          | 24         | 63.5            | 11         | 5.9             | 118.2%        |
| 2             | 7             | Morgan Stanley                  | 12         | 50.4            | 7          | 3.6             | 1305.9%           | 2             | 5             | Deloitte                        | 18         | 5.4             | 6          | -               | 200.0%        |
| 3             | 20            | Goldman Sachs                   | 3          | 46.5            | 2          | 0.4             | 12069.7%          | 3             | 3             | Morgan Stanley                  | 12         | 50.4            | 7          | 3.6             | 71.4%         |
| 4             | 5             | Bank of America                 | 3          | 13.0            | 1          | 4.4             | 192.5%            | 4             | 1             | GCA Corp                        | 10         | 1.3             | 16         | 1.0             | -37.5%        |
| 5             | 30            | Deloitte                        | 18         | 5.4             | 6          | -               | -                 | 5             | 6             | Mizuho Financial Group          | 8          | 1.2             | 5          | 4.7             | 60.0%         |
| 6             | 37            | Mitsubishi UFJ Financial        | 2          | 4.9             | 1          | -               | -                 | 6             | 8             | Daiwa Securities Group          | 7          | 2.0             | 5          | 0.8             | 40.0%         |
| 7             | 22            | PwC                             | 3          | 2.2             | 3          | 0.1             | 1715.1%           | 7             | -             | SMBC Trust Bank                 | 7          | 0.3             | -          | -               | -             |
| 8             | 13            | Daiwa Securities Group          | 7          | 2.0             | 5          | 0.8             | 157.7%            | 8             | 4             | Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group | 6          | 1.9             | 6          | 4.0             | -             |
| 9             | 6             | Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group | 6          | 1.9             | 6          | 4.0             | -52.1%            | 9             | 9             | Ernst & Young                   | 6          | 0.6             | 4          | 2.1             | 50.0%         |
| 10            | 9             | JP Morgan                       | 5          | 1.5             | 5          | 2.9             | -47.9%            | 10            | 7             | JP Morgan                       | 5          | 1.5             | 5          | 2.9             | -             |

**Note**: GlobalData's league table rankings is based on deal value. If value remains same for multiple advisers, deal volume is given weightage

Note: GlobalData's league table rankings is based on deal volume. If volume remains same for multiple advisers, deal value is given weightage

Source: GlobalData Deals Database

Technology Theme Review

Global FA League table

## Criteria

- GlobalData's M&A report including Advisory League Tables consists of top Financial advisors across regions, and sectors. The deal values in the report are included wherever disclosed
- Deal types include Mergers, Acquisitions, Asset transactions, Private Equity Buyouts which are announced between January 1, 2016 and September 30, 2020. The M&A trend data is based on the dominant geography and sector focus of the target entity
- Data presented in this report is derived from a number of reliable sources and is believed to be accurate. Key sources include company press releases, stock exchanges, trade journals, and business press
- GlobalData's sector coverage includes technology, construction, banking & payments, consumer, power, mining, packaging, oil and gas, retail, insurance, foodservice, healthcare, pharma, automotive, travel & tourism, medical, aerospace, defense & security, apparel, and others
- As part of our league tables we consider deals announced during the stated time period and include ongoing deals as well as completed deals. Any deals announced prior to the stated time period will not be included in the league tables. The deal values are represented in USD Billions or Millions and the conversion is based as on the deal announcement date. Non-US dollar denominated transactions are converted to the US dollar equivalent at the time of announcement of terms

Each adviser deals are consolidated under a single name; the name may be the parent advisory company or another entity, in case advisor has specific suggestions. Advisers can submit deals for inclusion in the database and claim credit for existing and new deals by adding GlobalData email deals@globaldata.com to your deal submission list or submit directly on GlobalData website <a href="https://www.globaldata.com/media/deals-submission/">https://www.globaldata.com/media/deals-submission/</a>

#### About GlobalData

GlobalData is a leading provider of global business intelligence including market, competitor, product and customer information. It provides in-depth research, analysis, data and forecasts through a range of interactive online databases, reports and management briefings. GlobalData has a large team of experienced research and analysis, consulting, and marketing experts. It has a global presence, including key offices in the US, Europe and Asia. The group has over 50 years of experience of delivering market intelligence data and analysis and a highly experienced senior management team.

# Ġ GlobalData.

# Contact Us: deals@globaldata.com

#### Disclaimer

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher, GlobalData. The facts of this report are believed to be correct at the time of publication but cannot be guaranteed. Please note that the findings, conclusions and recommendations that GlobalData delivers will be based on information gathered in good faith from both primary and secondary sources, whose accuracy we are not always in a position to guarantee. As such, GlobalData can accept no liability whatsoever for actions taken based on any information that may subsequently prove to be incorrect.

