



US Equity Hedge Fund Trading 2012:

Disparate and Desperate

Author: Miranda Mizen

April 2012

Executive Summary

After the bruising markets of last year, 2012 looks unremarkable on the surface, yet this will be a year of disruption for hedge funds and brokers alike, as market conditions, sell side economics and regulation create a triangle of change. Early optimism that market conditions are improving and markets will catch election fever early may not be played out unless the macro environment and equity volumes improve, and this keeps wallets thin and commission rates steady as hedge funds need to ensure brokers are sufficiently rewarded. Only a few can outperform markets in these doldrums.

This environment highlights the heterogeneity of the hedge funds' trading styles and needs, putting pressure on brokers to narrow products, services and pricing models to target groups and offer variations of transparency and flexibility.

Differentiation in the algorithm world comes in three forms: product performance, operational transparency, and low-touch coverage. While the biggest firms can crunch and compare thousands of executions to measure performance, most find greater differentiation in levels of knowledge, disclosure and support. For brokers, this signals an investment in low-touch service to be able to offer both proactive transparency and deeper levels of technical knowledge on the front line.

As firms have focused on electronic trading, the sales trading desk also struggles to differentiate itself and has become far more technical. Thin overall volumes, a reticence to trade blocks or use risk, and high turnover on the cash desk has changed both relationships and needs. While economics provides the fuel to constantly reassess broker lists, regulation is lighting the match, and the tails of large funds' broker lists are going up in smoke. Limited resources, fewer one-off deals and the burden of connectivity and compliance means brokers must be seen to earn their keep. Meanwhile, the constituent core group of brokers who command the greatest concentration of commissions is steady this year reflecting the constant need for diverse ranges of services.

The top broker may be hard to uproot, but there is a jostling for position amongst the next four. For those hedge funds that do not pay for research or whose trading desks have no ties to prime brokerage or which brokers they trade with, the last trade will determine the next. With limited dollars to play with, deeper relationships, outperforming algorithms and consistent coverage can nudge a broker up a place.

April 2012

Whether expanding into new alpha territory or putting in place processes for new regulatory compliance, the need for products and services is becoming ever more disparate. Large funds are getting larger, demanding data, innovation and transparency, while smaller funds provide valuable sources of order flow if they can be serviced economically. Leadership with regard to operational transparency, market structure changes, regulatory issues, coverage models and better ways of supporting their needs will be rewarded with order flow and a place on the broker list. For everyone else, the check will be in the mail.

US Hedge Fund Trading 2011: Disparate and Desperate

For this year's hedge fund trading study, TABB Group spoke with 51 individual US-based hedge fund companies, including many of the largest hedge funds running US equity strategies. The firms participating in this study manage an aggregate \$182 billion in assets. Conversations covered the views of head traders on a wide range of topics, including: order flow allocation across high and low-touch trading channels; commission rates and commission wallet trends; broker lists; leading brokers and prime brokers, mid-tier brokers, and coverage differentiation in the high and low touch space.

Table of Contents

VISION	2
TABLE OF EXHIBITS	5
TOP TWELVE FINDINGS	7
HIGHLIGHTS	8
HIGH- AND LOW-TOUCH SERVICE THE WRONG END OF THE LONG TAIL COMMISSION WALLET METHODOLOGY	11 13
CAUTION: CURVE AHEAD	16
ADJUSTING TO MEET THE NEW REQUIREMENTS	18
ALGORITHMS: SEEING IS BELIEVING	22
HIGH-TOUCH LOW-TOUCH COVERAGE	
BROKER LISTS: MAKING THE CUT	27
THE BROKER VOTE	29 30
TOP BROKERS AND COVERAGE	33
THE SALES TRADER TECHNICIAN TOP FIVE BROKERS INNOVATION BLOCKS	35 36
CONCLUSION	38
ABOUT	39
THE AUTHOR	39

Table of Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Title	Page
1	How Did Your Hedge Fund Perform in 2011?	8
2	Have You Changed the Way You Trade?	8
3	Order Flow Allocation by Type of Fund	9
4	How Satisfied Are You With Algorithmic Transparency?	10
5	Is There Much Differentiation in Coverage Among Brokers?	10
6	Are the Brokers You Rate for High Touch Service Also in Your List of Top Five Brokers?	11
7	Average Number of Brokers 2010–12	11
8	How Correlated Is the Broker Vote with Trading Desk Decisions?	12
9	To What Extent Does the Prime Broker Factor Drive Order Flow?	12
10	Expected Direction of Commission Wallet 2012	13
11	Hedge Fund Commission Wallet 2009–12E	13
12	Are You Applying Commission Pressure?	14
13	Commission Rates 2011–12 (Weighted)	14
14	Participant Segmentation by AUM	14
15	Idea-Driven vs. Model-Driven Funds	15
16	High Volume vs. Low Volume Funds	15
17	Do You Have Regulatory Concerns?	16
18	What Are Your Top Regulatory Concerns?	16
19	Are You Implementing New Processes or Systems for Compliance Reasons?	17
20	How Have Market Conditions Affected Your Investment Strategy?	18
21	Are You In Favor of Integrating Cash and Electronic Coverage?	18
22	How Are Your Investors Reacting to the Market?	19
23	Directional Trend of Hedge Fund AUM 2012 vs. 2011	20
24	Hedge Fund AUM 2012 versus 2011 (by Type)	20
25	YOY Comparison of Commission Pools Split by ADV Size	20
26	Selected Statistics of US Equity Funds (Small vs. Medium/Large Hedge Funds)	21
27	What Algorithmic Improvements Do You Want?	22
28	Methods to Access Electronic Dark Liquidity	23
29	Mentions for Low Touch Coverage	24
30	Are Your Low Touch Mentions Also Top Algorithmic Providers?	24
31	Mentions for Low-Touch Coverage (by Frequency of Mention)	24
32 & 33	Top Algorithm Providers (by Commission Paid) by ADV of Fund 2012/ by Frequency of Mention	25
34	Number of Algorithm Providers	26
35	Is Your Top Algorithm Provider the Same as Last Year?	26

Breakdown of Execution Broker Lists by Core, non-Core and Inactive 2011	27
Average Number of Brokers 2010-12	27
Frequency of Broker Vote	28
Research-Related Factors that Drive Order Flow	29
Commission Value of Top Research Factors	29
What Makes Mid-Tier Brokers Stand Out?	30
Top Mid-Tier Brokers by Frequency of Mention	30
When Do You Use Execution-Only Brokers?	30
How Many CSA Brokers Do You Have?	31
Gross Commission Pool (Weighted)	31
Average Number of Prime Brokers, 2008–11	32
Prime Brokers for YOY Participants 2011–12	32
Changes to Top Brokers 2012 vs. 2011	33
Brokers Cited for Great High-Touch Coverage by Broker Type/by Frequency of Mention	33
Core Brokers / Percentage of Flow to Core (Weighted)	34
Top 5 Brokers by Commissions Paid Ranked by High ADV/by Frequency of Mention	35
Who's Marketing Any Interesting Trading Products?	36
Use of Broker Risk Capital 2011–12	37
Execution Methods for Block Trades	37
	Inactive 2011 Average Number of Brokers 2010-12 Frequency of Broker Vote Research-Related Factors that Drive Order Flow Commission Value of Top Research Factors What Makes Mid-Tier Brokers Stand Out? Top Mid-Tier Brokers by Frequency of Mention When Do You Use Execution-Only Brokers? How Many CSA Brokers Do You Have? Gross Commission Pool (Weighted) Average Number of Prime Brokers, 2008-11 Prime Brokers for YOY Participants 2011-12 Changes to Top Brokers 2012 vs. 2011 Brokers Cited for Great High-Touch Coverage by Broker Type/by Frequency of Mention Core Brokers / Percentage of Flow to Core (Weighted) Top 5 Brokers by Commissions Paid Ranked by High ADV/by Frequency of Mention Who's Marketing Any Interesting Trading Products? Use of Broker Risk Capital 2011-12